

Identification, Characterization, and Expression Analysis of the IMP β Nuclear Transport Protein Gene Family in *Taraxacum kok-saghyz*



Qing-yu Yang^{1,*} , Wei Ma^{1,#} and Xue-yan Zhang^{1,*} 

¹Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Ecology of Tropical Islands, Key Laboratory of Tropical Animal and Plant Ecology of Hainan Province, College of Life Sciences, Hainan Normal University, Haikou, China

Abstract:

Introduction: *Taraxacum kok-saghyz* (TKS), a perennial member of the Compositae family, is one of the three most important rubber-producing plants globally, alongside *Hevea brasiliensis* and *Parthenium hysterophorus*. Natural rubber is a vital industrial raw material with significant economic and daily-life implications. The importin β (IMP β) gene family in *H. brasiliensis* has been implicated in the transport of ethylene-induced proteins associated with rubber biosynthesis. However, the role of the IMP β gene family in TKS remains unexplored.

Methods: In this study, we identified 27 TKS importin β (TkIMP β) gene family members. These members contain conserved domains and were classified into 12 subfamilies using multiple sequence alignment, phylogenetic analysis, and protein motif characterization.

Results: Bioinformatics analysis revealed replication-based relationships among four groups of TkIMP β members: TkIMP β 1/TkIMP β 2, TkIMP β 9/TkIMP β 14, TkIMP β 17/TkIMP β 18, and TkIMP β 22/TkIMP β 23. Expression analysis indicated that certain TkIMP β genes are associated with rubber biosynthesis, suggesting their regulatory role in natural rubber production.

Discussion: Subcellular localization and yeast two-hybrid assays (Y2H) confirmed that TkIMP β proteins function as nuclear transport proteins rather than transcription factors, facilitating nuclear material transport and signal transduction. Additionally, exposure to NaCl stress, methyl jasmonate (MeJA), and ethylene (Eth) further demonstrated the involvement of TkIMP β genes in rubber biosynthesis and stress responses.

Conclusion: Therefore, these findings not only improve our understanding of the evolution and expression patterns of the IMP β family in TKS but also identify potential gene targets for molecular breeding strategies to enhance rubber yield in TKS.

Keywords: *Taraxacum kok-saghyz*, Rubber-producing plants, Importin β (IMP β) gene family, Natural rubber biosynthesis, Yeast two-hybrid assays, *Hevea brasiliensis*, Russian dandelion.

© 2026 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

*Address correspondence to this author at the Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Ecology of Tropical Islands, Key Laboratory of Tropical Animal and Plant Ecology of Hainan Province, College of Life Sciences, Hainan Normal University, Haikou, China; Tel: 18568898899; E-mail: zhangxueyan_caas@126.com

These authors contributed equally to this work.

Cite as: Yang Q, Ma W, Zhang X. Identification, Characterization, and Expression Analysis of the IMP β Nuclear Transport Protein Gene Family in *Taraxacum kok-saghyz*. Open Agric J, 2026; 20: e18743315460508. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118743315460508260408080804>



CrossMark

Received: November 18, 2025

Revised: December 23, 2025

Accepted: January 12, 2026

Published: July 23, 2026



Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net

1. INTRODUCTION

Natural rubber (NR), or cis-1,4-polyisoprene, is an essential raw material in various industries, distinguished from synthetic rubber by its unique chemical properties [1]. *Hevea brasiliensis*, native to the Amazon rainforest, is currently the only natural rubber crop cultivated on a

large scale. However, its high production costs and long cultivation cycles contribute to significant environmental impacts on tropical rainforests [2]. *Taraxacum kok-saghyz* (TKS), commonly known as Russian dandelion, is a perennial herb of the Compositae family [3]. Originating from inland Kazakhstan, the Junggar Basin, and the

Tarim-Tex River Basin in Xinjiang, China, it is now widely distributed across the Northeast Plain, North China Plain, and Northwest China [3, 4]. *TKS* is ranked among the world's top three rubber-producing plants, along with *H. brasiliensis* and *Parthenium hysterophorus*. Its roots contain a hydrocarbon polymer that is structurally and functionally similar to the NR polymer found in the latex tubes of *H. brasiliensis* [5]. The short growth cycle, ease of cultivation, and relatively simple genetic transformation make it an ideal model for studying plant-based rubber production. Since its discovery in the 1930s, researchers in China, the United States, and the Soviet Union have investigated its rubber content, chemical properties, agronomic requirements, and morphology. However, following the end of the Cold War and shifts in the global political landscape, major natural rubber producers in Southeast Asia and South America re-entered the market, leading to an oversupply of NR and a decline in *TKS* research [4-7].

Since the 21st century, the global automotive industry has expanded considerably, driving a sharp increase in NR demand. However, rubber trees thrive only in specific tropical climates, resulting in severe NR shortages in many countries. China, for instance, imports about 80% of its supply [8]. To mitigate these shortages, identifying alternative rubber-producing plants that can grow outside tropical regions is crucial. Since 2000, interest in *TKS* has been renewed among Chinese researchers. Unlike *H. brasiliensis*, *TKS* offers comparable rubber production along with several advantages, including a sevenfold faster production rate, a highly mechanized cultivation process, adaptability to temperate zones, and tolerance to poor soil fertility. Therefore, *TKS* is considered a promising alternative source of NR.

The primary distinction between eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells is that eukaryotic cells possess a nucleus enclosed by a double membrane [9]. The nucleus serves as the central hub for genetic material storage, replication, transmission, selective expression, and cellular metabolism [10]. The exchange of materials and information between the nucleus and cytoplasm is crucial for regulating cellular activities [11]. The nuclear transport system consists of two main components: nuclear transport receptors and nuclear pore proteins, whose interaction facilitates nuclear transport [12]. While small molecules diffuse passively across the nuclear envelope, macromolecules such as proteins require active transport mediated by nuclear transport receptors [12, 13]. Nucleocytoplasmic transport is a highly dynamic process involving the assembly, translocation, and disassembly of the import complex in a continuous cycle. Proteins such as importins, exportins, nucleoporins (Nups), and the cellular apoptosis susceptibility (CAS) protein participate in this process [14, 15], each playing distinct roles to ensure efficient transport [16]. Most biomacromolecules with molecular weights exceeding 50 kDa are actively transported by karyopherins [17-19]. Nuclear transport receptors, primarily belonging to the RanGTP-binding protein family, include importin α (IMP α)

and importin β (IMP β), which share a conserved structural framework. IMP α acts as an adaptor, linking cargo proteins at one end while interacting with IMP β or its homologs at the other. In plants, the function of IMP β proteins extends far beyond basic nucleocytoplasmic shuttling. Studies have shown that IMP β , as a core member of nuclear transport receptors, precisely regulates plant growth, development, environmental adaptation, and immune responses by specifically recognizing and transporting key transcription factors involved in various hormone signaling pathways and stress responses [20, 21]. For example, in *Arabidopsis*, the Importin β protein SAD2 has been shown to play a crucial role in stomatal immunity and abscisic acid (ABA) signal transduction by mediating the nuclear import of the protein phosphatase ABI1 [22]. In potato, an IMP β gene induced by salicylic acid and hydrogen peroxide has been proven to be essential for plant responses to abiotic stress [21].

The pathways and regulatory mechanisms governing rubber biosynthesis pose significant theoretical challenges [1]. NR synthesis involves membrane proteins embedded in rubber particles within rubber-producing plants. These plants store and biosynthesize NR in their lactiferous ducts, with small rubber particles in laticifer cells serving as key organelles for both processes [1]. Unlike the nuclear-cytoplasmic transport of macromolecules, small molecular hormones regulate cellular signaling by binding to hormone receptors located either inside or outside the nucleus. This interaction modulates cell proliferation and immune responses. Extensive studies have explored the nuclear-cytoplasmic transport of hormone-receptor protein complexes, which initially assemble in the cytoplasm. Using yeast-based screening, Li *et al.* identified the AtJAT1 transporter as a key component in jasmonic acid (JA) transport. Functional analysis in *Arabidopsis thaliana* revealed that AtJAT1 mediates the nuclear import of extracellular JA and JA-Ile, significantly enhancing JA metabolism, particularly the cytoplasm interactions of JA-Ile [23]. However, the mechanism by which AtJAT1 facilitates JA-Ile transport across the nuclear double membrane remains unclear.

IMP β binds to nuclear pore complex (NPC) proteins and plays a crucial role in nuclear protein transport. Based on the direction of cargo movement, IMP β nuclear transport proteins are classified as exportins (mediating nuclear export) and importins (mediating nuclear import) [17-19]. At least 16 IMP β subfamily members have been identified in eukaryotes, where the entire IMP β family is expressed. In plants such as *Zea*, the IMP β gene family exhibits significant expansion, with as many as 27 members identified, suggesting broad functional diversification [20].

This study presents the first comprehensive genome-wide analysis and characterization of the IMP β nuclear transport protein gene family in *TKS*, using publicly available genome and transcriptome data. Bioinformatics analysis revealed replication-based relationships among four groups of IMP β gene members: *TkIMP β 1/TkIMP β 2*,

TkIMP β 9/TkIMP β 14, *TkIMP β 17/TkIMP β 18*, and *TkIMP β 22/TkIMP β 23*. Phylogenetic analysis classified 27 IMP β s into 12 subfamilies. Expression analysis further showed that *TkIMP β 3* and *TkIMP β 16* exhibited the highest expression levels in *TKS* roots. Moreover, IMP β s in *TKS* appear to play multiple roles in natural rubber biosynthesis.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Identification of IMP β Gene Family Members in *TKS*

The genomic data for *TKS* (BioProject: PRJNA792143) were retrieved from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Protein sequences of the IMP β gene family members from *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Oryza sativa* served as query sequences, and candidate IMP β genes in *TKS* (e-value < 1e-5) were identified through local BlastP analysis. The conserved domains of IMP β , including the N-terminal Ran-binding domain, intermediate HEAT repeat domain, and C-terminal substrate / adapter-binding domain, were predicted using InterProScan (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro>). To improve confidence, these predictions were cross-validated with the Pfam. All candidate sequences were manually inspected; those lacking complete open reading frames or exhibiting fragmented domain architectures were discarded. Finally, 27 non-redundant *TkIMP β* gene family members were identified. The isoelectric point and molecular weight of the corresponding proteins were predicted using the “Calculate” tool in the ExPasy online database of the Swiss Center for Protein Bioinformatics (http://web.expasy.org/compute_pi/) [24].

2.2. Chromosome Localization and Replication Analysis

MapInspect software was used to analyze the chromosomal distribution of IMP β genes based on genome annotation data. DAMAN software was used to compare the genetic relationships among the 27 IMP β genes, with nucleic acid sequences showing >90% homology defined as duplicated genes [25]. Subcellular localization was predicted using the WoLF-PSORT online server [26].

2.3. Motif Analysis

The MEME online software was used to analyze amino acid sequences and identify conserved motifs in IMP β proteins [27].

2.4. Phylogenetic Tree Construction and Exon-intron Structure Analysis

The Clustal W program in the molecular evolution analysis software MEGA6.0 was used to compare IMP β protein sequences from *TKS*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Hevea brasiliensis*, and *Oryza sativa*. The neighbor-joining (NJ) method with a bootstrap test of 1000 replicates was used to construct phylogenetic trees, which were then evaluated [28]. Gene structure analysis was performed using the online tool GSDS by comparing the coding DNA sequences (CDS) of rubber grass IMP β genes with their corresponding genomic sequences [29].

2.5. Distribution of Cis-acting Elements in Promoter Regions

To study cis-acting elements in the promoter region of IMP β genes in *TKS*, sequences 2000 bp upstream of each IMP β initiation codon were retrieved from the *TKS* genome database. These sequences were analyzed using the online tool PlantCARE to predict cis-acting elements [30].

2.6. Gene-specific Expression Analysis

IMP β gene expression levels were analyzed using RNA-sequencing data from different tissues and developmental stages of *TKS*; the raw sequencing data analyzed in this study were sourced from the NCBI BioProject database (accession: PRJNA539838) [31]. Briefly, clean reads were obtained after filtering raw data to remove low-quality sequences and were aligned to the *TKS* reference genome using HISAT2. Gene expression levels were normalized using the FPKM (Fragments per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads) method. Differential expression between samples was statistically assessed with the DESeq2 package, where genes with $|\log_2(\text{fold change})| \geq 1$ and an adjusted p -value < 0.05 were considered significant. For visualization, the FPKM values were log-transformed as $\ln(X+1)$ and subsequently used to generate a heatmap. The final heatmap of IMP β expression was created using Multi-Experiment Viewer (MeV) software [32].

2.7. Subcellular Localization

The coding sequences of *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27*, excluding the stop codons, were independently cloned into the pCambia Super 1300-GFP vector at the *Hind* III and *Kpn* I restriction sites to construct 35S::GFP-*TkIMP β 10*, 35S::GFP-*TkIMP β 11* and 35S::GFP-*TkIMP β 27*. The primer sequences for these constructs were designed using Primer Premier 5 (Table 1). For transient expression analysis, *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (GV3101) carrying 35S::GFP-*TkIMP β 10*, 35S::GFP-*TkIMP β 11*, 35S::GFP-*TkIMP β 27*, or a control vector. The infiltrated plants were grown for three days, stained with DAPI, and observed under an LSM710 microscope (Zeiss, Germany) to detect green fluorescent protein (GFP) fluorescence signals.

2.8 Transcriptional Activation Assay

The corresponding vectors were also transformed into yeast strains. The full-length sequences of *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27* (primers listed in Table 1) were cloned into the *Eco*R I and *Sal* I sites of the pGBKT7 vector (TaKaRa, Nanjing, China, Cat. No. 630489). Synthetic plasmids expressing each protein were then transformed into yeast. The interaction between pGBKT7-53 and pGADT7-T (TaKaRa, Cat. No. 630442) served as a positive control. Transcriptional activation was analyzed as described previously [33]. Transformed cells were cultured on SD/-Trp and SD/-Trp/-His/-Ade plates, and recombinant colonies were visualized after 3–5 days of incubation at 30 °C.

Table 1. Primers for *TkIMPβ10*, *TkIMPβ11*, and *TkIMPβ27* gene experiments. Underline indicates the enzyme cutting site.

Primer Name	Sequence(5' to 3')	For experiment
GFP- <i>TkIMPβ10</i> F	ATCGACTCTAGAAAGCTTCAACCAAGGAAGAAGGCCTG	Subcellular Localization
GFP- <i>TkIMPβ10</i> R	CTTGCTCACCATGGTACCCAATAGGCAGCGTTCCCATGA	
GFP- <i>TkIMPβ11</i> F	ATCGACTCTAGAAAGCTTGCCTAAACATGCAGAAGAAAAG	
GFP- <i>TkIMPβ11</i> R	CTTGCTCACCATGGTACCAGAAATGCACGACTTATGGC	
GFP- <i>TkIMPβ27</i> F	ATCGACTCTAGAAAGCTTATGGACGATTCAACTCAGCAA	
GFP- <i>TkIMPβ27</i> R	CTTGCTCACCATGGTACCCACAACATTTAGTCTCGAAAG	
Y2H- <i>TkIMPβ10</i> F	GCCATGGAGGCCGAATTCACCAAGGAAGAAGGCCTG	Transcriptional Activation Assay
Y2H- <i>TkIMPβ10</i> R	CGGCCGCTGCAGGTCGACCAATAGGCAGCGTTCCCATGA	
Y2H- <i>TkIMPβ11</i> F	GCCATGGAGGCCGAATTCGCGTAAACATGCAGAAGAAAAG	
Y2H- <i>TkIMPβ11</i> R	CGGCCGCTGCAGGTCGACAGAAATGCACGACTTATGGC	
Y2H- <i>TkIMPβ27</i> F	GCCATGGAGGCCGAATTCATGGACGATTCAACTCAGCAA	
Y2H- <i>TkIMPβ27</i> R	CGGCCGCTGCAGGTCGACCAACAACATTTAGTCTCGAAAG	
q- <i>TkIMPβ10</i> F	CTAAACTCCCACATCAATC	RTq-PCR
q- <i>TkIMPβ10</i> R	ATACTCATCCCCTAACA	
q- <i>TkIMPβ11</i> F	CTTAAACAGTTCAGGAGCA	
q- <i>TkIMPβ11</i> R	TCTATGTTGTTCTTGGCATC	
q- <i>TkIMPβ27</i> F	GTAGCTCATCGATCGGGATA	
q- <i>TkIMPβ27</i> R	CTCTGTACCTGCCAACTCGAT	
<i>GADPH</i> F	AGTTGGTTTCGTGGTATGAC	
<i>GADPH</i> R	ACATGTCAGTGAACAGGTAGAC	

2.9. Plants Sowing and Treatments

The experiment was conducted in 2021-2022 using well-grown, uniformly sized, sterile *TKS* seedlings 20 days after sowing. The selected plants underwent the following treatments:

2.10. NaCl Stress Treatment

The plants were removed from the medium, and their roots were thoroughly washed with tap water to eliminate any residual medium. They were then quickly immersed in a solution containing 200 mM NaCl. Root samples were collected at 6, 12, and 24 h after treatment to analyze gene expression responses to salt stress. Three biological replicates were conducted at each time point. The control group was treated with distilled water containing 2.2% anhydrous ethanol.

2.11. Methyl Jasmonate and Ethylene Spraying Treatment

For hormone treatments, *TKS* leaves were sprayed with either 100 mg/L ethylene (Eth) or 0.8 mM methyl jasmonate (MeJA). Plants treated with distilled water containing 2.2% anhydrous ethanol served as controls. Root samples were collected at 6, 12, and 24 h after treatment to assess gene expression responses to hormones. Each treatment included three replicates.

2.12. Rtg-pcr of *Impβ* Gene after NaCl and Hormone Treatment

After treatment, root samples, including those from control plants, were collected at 6, 12, and 24 h. To ensure result reliability and reproducibility, samples for each treatment condition were collected from three different

individuals to analyze gene responses to salt stress and hormone application (primers used are listed in Table 1). GAPDH (Genebank: DY821911) was selected as the reference gene for normalization in this study. It has been previously validated as a stable reference gene in *TKS* roots under various abiotic stress and hormone treatment conditions [34]. The SYBR Green qRT-PCR reaction mixture contained 5 µl of 2× SYBR Green PCR buffer, 0.5 µl of primers, and 5 ng of template DNA, with the total volume adjusted to 10 µl using double-distilled H₂O. The PCR program comprised an initial step at 50 °C for 2 min, followed by 10 min at 95 °C, then 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min. Data were analyzed using the 2^{-ΔΔCt} method [35].

2.13. Data Analysis

Microsoft Excel 2010 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA) was used to organize raw data and calculate the mean and standard deviation for the control and each treatment. Figures were also generated using Microsoft Excel 2010. Statistical significance was set at *p* < 0.05.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Identification of *IMPβ* Family Members of *TKS*

IMPβ gene family members from model organisms such as *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Oryza sativa* were retrieved from NCBI and used to identify *IMPβ* gene family members in *TKS* through local BLAST analysis. The BLASTP program identified 43 *TkIMPβ* candidate genes (e-value < 1e-5). Pfam analysis was then conducted to confirm the presence of the protein kinase domain and the conserved *IMPβ* active center sequence, leading to the

identification of 27 *TkIMPβ* gene family members. These genes were distributed across 26 distinct scaffold fragments within the assembled genome. Gene names were assigned based on scaffold size and chromosomal position, resulting in designations from *TkIMPβ*1 to *TkIMPβ*27 (Fig. 1). The open reading frames (ORFs) of *TkIMPβ* genes in *TKS* varied in length, with *TkIMPβ*12 being the shortest (276 bp) and *TkIMPβ*27 the longest (3531 bp). The encoded proteins ranged from 91 to 1176 aa in length, with relative molecular masses between 10.38 kD and 130.22 kD. *TkIMPβ*24 had the lowest

isoelectric point (4.60), while *TkIMPβ*11 had the highest (6.26). Chromosome location analysis revealed that *TkIMPβ*1, *TkIMPβ*5, *TkIMPβ*8, *TkIMPβ*10, *TkIMPβ*13, *TkIMPβ*18, *TkIMPβ*22, *TkIMPβ*23, *TkIMPβ*24, and *TkIMPβ*26 were located in the cytoplasm. Furthermore, *TkIMPβ*3 and *TkIMPβ*20 were located in both the cytoplasm and nucleus, while *TkIMPβ*15 and *TkIMPβ*21 were present in both the cytoplasm and mitochondria. *TkIMPβ*14 was located in the vacuole, whereas the remaining gene family members were localized in the nucleus (Table 2).




Fig. (1). Location of *IMPβ* genes on chromosomes in *TKS*. Twenty-seven *TkIMPβ* genes were located on 26 different genomic scaffolds. The naming order of *IMPβ* members was based on the scaffold length, and four groups of replicators were indicated by a red dotted line (nucleic acid homology > 90%). The scale on the left represents the chromosome length (kb), the Utg number at the top represents the chromosome name, and the solid line on the scaffold of 26 genomes indicates the position of the corresponding gene on the chromosome.

Table 2. Information regarding the *IMPβ* gene family in *TKS*.

Gene	Gene ID	ORF/bp	Protein			
			Size/aa	(MW/kD)	pI	Subcellular Localization
<i>TkIMPβ1</i>	evm. TU. utg32608.5	3024	1007	112.33	4.84	Cytoplasmic
<i>TkIMPβ2</i>	evm. TU. utg36188.3	3126	1041	116.07	4.87	Nuclear
<i>TkIMPβ3</i>	evm. TU. utg16212.6	2913	970	108.78	5.42	Cytoplasmic, Nuclear
<i>TkIMPβ4</i>	evm. TU. utg11024.4	1623	540	61.43	5.92	Nuclear
<i>TkIMPβ5</i>	evm. TU. utg19043.5	3369	1122	123.71	4.82	Cytoplasmic
<i>TkIMPβ6</i>	evm. TU. utg11085.1	2970	989	113.63	5.53	Nuclear
<i>TkIMPβ7</i>	evm. TU. utg8780.5	2610	869	97.00	4.98	Nuclear
<i>TkIMPβ8</i>	evm. TU. utg17009.9	3216	1071	123.23	5.44	Cytoplasmic
<i>TkIMPβ9</i>	evm. TU. utg8019.8	3096	1031	113.94	4.76	Nuclear
<i>TkIMPβ10</i>	evm. TU. utg2127.5	2892	963	107.01	5.33	Cytoplasmic
<i>TkIMPβ11</i>	evm.TU. utg8311.5	3162	1053	119.11	6.26	Nuclear
<i>TkIMPβ12</i>	evm. TU. utg12637.8	276	91	10.38	5.26	Nuclear
<i>TkIMPβ13</i>	evm. TU. utg10672.2	3186	1061	122.08	5.94	Cytoplasmic
<i>TkIMPβ14</i>	evm. TU. utg18424.4	3096	1031	113.97	4.78	Vacuolar
<i>TkIMPβ15</i>	evm. TU. utg11351.9	3375	1124	125.00	5.96	Mitochondrial, Cytoplasmic
<i>TkIMPβ16</i>	evm. TU. utg5522.8	3342	1113	123.15	4.76	Nuclear
<i>TkIMPβ17</i>	evm. TU. utg9634.17	3336	1111	122.89	4.72	Nuclear
<i>TkIMPβ18</i>	evm. TU. utg10431.21	3207	1068	118.20	4.71	Cytoplasmic
<i>TkIMPβ19</i>	evm. TU. utg9094.7	3219	1072	119.89	5.55	Nuclear
<i>TkIMPβ20</i>	evm. TU. utg4060.12	2667	888	98.07	4.63	Cytoplasmic, Nuclear
<i>TkIMPβ21</i>	evm.TU. utg5620.9	2703	900	101.95	5.98	Mitochondrial, Cytoplasmic
<i>TkIMPβ22</i>	evm. TU. utg7531.4	3078	1025	117.39	4.85	Cytoplasmic
<i>TkIMPβ23</i>	evm.TU. utg7531.5	3081	1026	117.53	4.91	Cytoplasmic
<i>TkIMPβ24</i>	evm. TU. utg13228.6	2619	872	96.93	4.60	Cytoplasmic
<i>TkIMPβ25</i>	evm. TU. utg2106.11	3162	1053	115.29	4.82	Nuclear
<i>TkIMPβ26</i>	evm. TU. utg2757.32	2592	863	96.03	4.68	Cytoplasmic
<i>TkIMPβ27</i>	evm. TU. utg2973.12	3531	1176	130.22	5.62	Nuclear

3.2. Analysis of Conserved Motif Distribution of *IMPβ* Gene Family Members in *TKS*

Motifs 3, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 were found in the IBN-N domain. Motifs 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 27, 31, and 37 were present in the HEAT domain. Motifs 33 and 38 were identified in the Cse1 domain, while Motif 37 was found in the CAS-Cse1 domain. Motifs 1, 20, 34, 37, 38, 39, and 40 were associated with the Xpo1 domain.

Additionally, motifs 4, 16, and 28 were present in the CRM1-C domain.

To investigate the evolutionary conservation of *IMPβ* sequences in *TKS*, we analyzed the distribution of conserved motifs across 27 *IMPβ* gene family members using MEME. A total of 40 conserved motifs were predicted. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that members with close genetic relationships exhibited similar conserved motif distributions (Fig. 2).

The number of motifs in each *TkIMPβ* ranged from 0 to 9. Identical motif patterns were observed in *TkIMPβ1* and *TkIMPβ2*; *TkIMPβ3* and *TkIMPβ4*; *TkIMPβ16*, *TkIMPβ17*, and *TkIMPβ18*; *TkIMPβ22* and *TkIMPβ23*; *TkIMPβ24* and *TkIMPβ26*; *TkIMPβ8* and *TkIMPβ13*; and *TkIMPβ9* and *TkIMPβ14*. Some motifs were unique to specific genes: Motif 31 to *TkIMPβ7*, Motif 36 to *TkIMPβ11*, Motif 39 to

TkIMPβ6, and Motif 40 to *TkIMPβ10*. Additionally, Motifs 1, 3, 4, 16, 25, and 28 were unique to *TkIMPβ8* and *TkIMPβ13*; Motifs 5, 10, 12, 29, and 38 were unique to *TkIMPβ22* and *TkIMPβ23*; Motifs 17, 18, and 22 were unique to *TkIMPβ9* and *TkIMPβ14*; and Motifs 15, 26, and 32 were unique to *TkIMPβ2*.

The motif distribution patterns described above elucidate the functional and structural basis of the *TKS* *IMPβ* family. The conserved motifs enriched in domains such as HEAT and IBN-N constitute HEAT repeat units composed of α -helical pairs. The superhelical skeleton assembled from these units serves as the core structural basis for the interaction of *IMPβ* proteins with the nuclear pore complex (NPC), RanGTPase, and cargo proteins [36, 37]. Furthermore, the compositional differences in motifs between importins (*e.g.*, those containing the IBN-N domain) and exportins (*e.g.*, those containing the Xpo1 or CRM1-C domains) directly determine the specificity of their nucleocytoplasmic transport direction. It is noteworthy that some member-specific motifs (*e.g.*, Motif 31 in *TkIMPβ7*) are likely located in the variable loop regions responsible for substrate recognition [38]. This structural diversity enables different *TkIMPβ* members to precisely transport specific “cargoes,” including hormone and stress-response factors, thereby underpinning their broad functional diversity in *TKS*.




Fig. (2). Conserved motifs in IMP β proteins were identified using the MEME online software based on amino acid sequence analysis.

3.3. Evolution Analysis of the IMP β Gene Family in TKS

To better understand the evolutionary relationship between the IMP β gene families of TKS and various species, we constructed a phylogenetic tree including *Arabidopsis thaliana* (19 IMP β s), *Hevea brasiliensis* (29 IMP β s), *Oryza sativa* (8 IMP β s), and TKS (27 IMP β s) (Fig. 3).

Based on the phylogenetic tree structure, IMP β s were classified into 12 subfamilies. *TkIMP* β 16, *TkIMP* β 17, and *TkIMP* β 18 clustered in subgroup D; *TkIMP* β 10, *TkIMP* β 11, and *TkIMP* β 27 in subgroup E; *TkIMP* β 5, *TkIMP* β 6, *TkIMP* β 7, *TkIMP* β 9, *TkIMP* β 14, and *TkIMP* β 21 in subgroup F; *TkIMP* β 22 and *TkIMP* β 23 in subgroup G; *TkIMP* β 20 in subgroup H; *TkIMP* β 12 in subgroup I; *TkIMP* β 3, *TkIMP* β 4, *TkIMP* β 8, *TkIMP* β 13, and *TkIMP* β 25 in subgroup K; and *TkIMP* β 19, *TkIMP* β 24, and *TkIMP* β 26 in subgroup G. Subgroups A, B, and C contained no TKS IMP β genes, possibly due to functional differentiation over long-term evolution. Analysis of conserved domains within these 12 *TkIMP* β subfamilies revealed that subgroup D

members exclusively possessed the HEAT domain. While *TkIMP* β 11, resembling *HbIMP* β 29 in the rubber tree, contained only the IBN-N domain, other subgroup E members exhibited either the IBN-N and Xpo1 domains or only the Xpo1 domain. In group J, *TkIMP* β 15 possessed only the Xpo1 domain, whereas other members contained either the IBN-N, Cse1, and CAS-Cse1 domains or the IBN-N, Xpo1, and CRM1-C domains.

Group K members contained both the IBN-N and HEAT domains, whereas the remaining subgroup members possessed only the IBN-N domain. These findings indicate notable evolutionary differences within the IMP β gene family in TKS, with members sharing close evolutionary relationships exhibiting similar domain compositions. For example, *TkIMP* β 8 and *TkIMP* β 13 in subgroup J contained the IBN-N, Xpo1, and CRM1-C domains, which facilitate the export of proteins carrying leucine-rich nuclear export signals. Based on this, we can infer the functions of TKS IMP β family members by drawing comparisons with IMP β homologs in *Arabidopsis* and other species. This insight provides a valuable foundation for further research into the functions of the IMP β family in TKS.




Fig. (3). Phylogenetic tree of IMP β families in TKS and other plants. Proteins of Tk. *Taraxacum kok-saghyz*; At. *Arabidopsis thaliana*; Os. *Oryza sativa*; Hb. *Hevea brasiliensis* (in colour according to their respective classes).

3.4. Exon-intron Structure Analysis of the IMP β Gene Family in TKS

Figure 4 illustrates the complex gene structure of the IMP β family in TKS. Notably, TkIMP β 3, TkIMP β 12, and TkIMP β 21 have a simple structure comprised of a single exon and no introns. In contrast, most TkIMP β s exhibit a more complex organization, containing over 15 exons and introns (Fig. 4). The number of exons across all TkIMP β s ranges from 1 to 31, with closely related members displaying similar exon-intron patterns, as observed in TkIMP β 24 and TkIMP β 26. The intron-exon structures of the identified paralogs-TkIMP β 1/TkIMP β 2, TkIMP β 9-/TkIMP β 14, TkIMP β 17/TkIMP β 18, and TkIMP β 22-/TkIMP β 23-were nearly identical. Protein motif and amino acid length analyses further highlight the functional diversification of the 27 TkIMP β family members. These findings suggest that TkIMP β family members may have diverse roles in nuclear-cytoplasmic transport.

3.5. Distribution of Cis-acting Elements in the Promoter Regions of the IMP β Gene Family in TKS

The upstream nucleic acid sequences (2000 bp) preceding the transcription start sites of IMP β genes were obtained to examine the distribution of cis-acting elements in the promoter regions. The results were organized according to the phylogenetic tree sequence and represented using different colors or shapes in Fig. (5). By integrating these findings with the statistics in Table 3, it

was possible to demonstrate that the promoter regions of TkIMP β genes contain various cis-acting elements. Specifically, these include three elements related to transcription initiation, five associated with growth, 11 linked to hormone responses, four involved in stress responses, and 18 responsive to light. These findings suggest that the expression of TkIMP β genes is regulated by different stress factors and hormones. Notably, the promoter regions of TkIMP β 17 and TkIMP β 18, which are involved in replication, exhibit similar distributions of cis-acting elements.

Table 3. Information regarding cis-acting elements in the promoter regions of TkIMP β genes.

Element Properties	Name
Transcription initiation-related cis-elements	CAAT-box, TATA-box, AT-rich sequence
Growth-related cis-elements	ARE, circadian, CAT-box, GCN4_motif, HD-Zip1
Hormone responsive cis-elements	TGA-element, TATC-box, TCA-element, SARE, ABRE, AuxRR-core, TGACG-motif, CGTCA-motif, P-box, GARE-motif, TGA-box
Stress response-related cis-elements	TC-rich repeats, LTR, GC-motif, WUN-motif
The optical response-related cis-elements	ACE, G-Box, G-box, GT1-motif, Sp1, 3-AF1binding site, GATA-motif, TCT-motif, I-box, LAMP-element, BoxII, Gap-box, TCCC-motif, rbcS-CMA7c, chs-CMA1a, GA-motif, GATT-motif, chs-CMA2a



Fig. (4). Phylogenetic relationships, domain composition, and intron-exon structures of TKS HMTs and HDMs.




Fig. (5). Distribution of cis-elements in the promoter regions of *TkIMPβ* genes.

3.6. Expression Pattern Analysis of *IMPβ* Gene Family Members in TKS

To further assess the functions of *IMPβ* gene family members in TKS and their role in regulating the synthesis of natural rubber biosynthetic proteins, transcriptome data were used to analyze the expression profiles of 27 *TkIMPβ* genes. Root and mature leaf samples were selected for this analysis at growth stages of 1, 2, 6, 12, and 18 months (M). Expression analysis of the 27 *TkIMPβ* genes revealed that *TkIMPβ3*, *TkIMPβ5*, *TkIMPβ7*, *TkIMPβ13*, *TkIMPβ16*, *TkIMPβ23*, and *TkIMPβ24* maintained stable expression in both roots and leaves, suggesting that these genes may have essential functions in these tissues, particularly in nuclear transport. In

contrast, *TkIMPβ1*, *TkIMPβ2*, *TkIMPβ4*, *TkIMPβ12*, *TkIMPβ14*, and *TkIMPβ21* exhibited lower expression levels, indicating that their roles may be less significant under normal growth conditions.

In the roots from 2M to 6M, except for *TkIMPβ10*, *TkIMPβ15*, *TkIMPβ19*, and *TkIMPβ26*, the expression levels of other genes remained largely unchanged. The expression levels of *TkIMPβ19* initially decreased and then increased, whereas those of *TkIMPβ10*, *TkIMPβ15*, and *TkIMPβ26* exhibited the opposite trend. Compared with 6-month-old roots, only the expression levels of *TkIMPβ3*, *TkIMPβ4*, *TkIMPβ5*, *TkIMPβ12*, *TkIMPβ16*, *TkIMPβ20*, *TkIMPβ21*, and *TkIMPβ24* remained relatively stable in 12-month-old roots, while the remaining genes showed a

decreasing trend. Compared with 12-month-old roots, *TkIMPβ3*, *TkIMPβ5*, *TkIMPβ16*, and *TkIMPβ25* maintained high expression levels in 18-month-old roots, whereas *TkIMPβ20* showed a decline. The expression levels of all other genes increased.

Furthermore, Fig. 6 illustrates the growth stage-dependent peak expression levels of the 27 *TkIMPβ* genes in roots. Analysis of genes expressed at high and moderate levels showed that *TkIMPβ13*, *TkIMPβ23*, and *TkIMPβ24* exhibited the highest expression at the 1M stage, while *TkIMPβ3*, *TkIMPβ5*, *TkIMPβ7*, and *TkIMPβ16* peaked at the 18 M stage. The remaining genes had their highest expression at either the 2M or 6M stages. Thus, the expression levels of *TkIMPβ1*, *TkIMPβ2*, *TkIMPβ4*, *TkIMPβ12*, *TkIMPβ14*, and *TkIMPβ21* peaked at specific stages of root development but remained consistent throughout growth and development.

Within the *TkIMPβ* family of 27 members, *TkIMPβ3*, *TkIMPβ5*, *TkIMPβ7*, *TkIMPβ13*, *TkIMPβ16*, *TkIMPβ23*, and *TkIMPβ24* exhibited high expression levels in mature

TKS leaves, with *TkIMPβ16* showing the highest expression. In contrast, the remaining members displayed minimal, barely detectable expression. A comparative analysis of expression levels between roots and mature leaves at 18 M revealed significant differences in *TkIMPβ10*, *TkIMPβ19*, *TkIMPβ20*, *TkIMPβ22*, *TkIMPβ25*, and *TkIMPβ27*, indicating that these genes are primarily expressed in roots rather than leaves.

3.7. Subcellular Localization

To explore the potential functions of *TkIMPβ10*, *TkIMPβ11*, and *TkIMPβ27*, we performed subcellular localization studies by injecting *Agrobacterium* cultures containing 35S::GFP-*TkIMPβ10*, 35S::GFP-*TkIMPβ11*, and 35S::GFP-*TkIMPβ27* vectors into *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves. As shown in Fig. (7), the GFP fluorescence signal mainly appeared in the nucleus. Compared with the 35S::GFP empty vector control, fluorescence signals of 35S::GFP-*TkIMPβ10*, 35S::GFP-*TkIMPβ11*, and 35S::GFP-*TkIMPβ27* were also detected in the nucleus.




Fig. (6). Expression profiles of *TkIMPβ* genes with hierarchical clustering in roots and mature leaves from 1M to 18M. The heatmap color scale on the right indicates expression levels: red represents high transcript abundance, and green represents low transcript abundance.




Fig. (7). Subcellular localization of *TkIMPβ10*, *TkIMPβ11*, and *TkIMPβ27*. *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves transformed with 35S::GFP served as controls. Green represents the fluorescent signal of green fluorescent protein (GFP), blue indicates the nucleus visualized by DAPI staining, and “Merge” shows the merged images.




Fig. (8). Transcriptional activity analysis of *TkIMPβ10*, *TkIMPβ11*, and *TkIMPβ27*. Ad-SV40 large T-antigen was used as a positive control, and the pGBKT7 empty vector served as a negative control.

3.8. Yeast Two-hybrid Transcriptional Activation Analysis

In this study, the Y2HGold-GAL4 system was used to test whether *TkIMPβ10*, *TkIMPβ11*, and *TkIMPβ27* exhibit

autoactivating transcriptional activity, with the empty pGBKT7 vector as a negative control. BD-p53 and AD-SV40 large T antigen served as positive controls (Fig. 8). All transformed yeast cells grew normally on SD/Trp

medium. However, on SD/Trp-/His-/Ade- medium, only the positive control showed normal growth, while the negative control and yeast cells transformed with *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27* recombinant vectors failed to grow. This indicates that *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27* lack transcriptional activity in yeast cells.

3.9. The Expression Levels of *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11* and *TkIMP β 27* Genes After NaCl and Hormone Treatment

In this study, we analyzed the mRNA expression levels of *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27* in *TKS* under NaCl salt stress and methyl jasmonate (MeJA) and ethylene (Eth) treatments for 6, 12, and 24 h. As shown in

Fig. (9A), *TkIMP β 10* expression remained unchanged after 6 and 12 hours of MeJA treatment compared with the control (CK). However, *TkIMP β 11* and *TkIMP β 27* were significantly downregulated at 6 h ($p < 0.05$, LSD test). By 24 hours, all three genes showed their lowest expression levels ($p < 0.05$, LSD test). In Fig. (9B), under Eth treatment, the expression of *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27* significantly decreased at 6 and 12 h compared with CK but rebounded by 24 h. In Fig. (9C), under NaCl stress, none of the genes showed significant expression changes at 6 hours. However, their expression levels significantly decreased at 12 h. By 24 h, expression partially recovered but remained significantly lower than CK ($p < 0.05$, LSD test).




Fig. 9 contd.....




Fig. (9). The expression levels of *TkIMPβ10*, *TkIMPβ11*, and *TkIMPβ27* in *TKS* under NaCl stress and treatment with methyl jasmonate (MeJA) and ethylene (Eth) for 6, 12, and 24 hours. **(A)** Expression changes of *TkIMPβ10*, *TkIMPβ11*, and *TkIMPβ27* in response to MeJA treatment. **(B)** Expression changes of *TkIMPβ10*, *TkIMPβ11*, and *TkIMPβ27* in response to Eth treatment. **(C)** Expression changes of *TkIMPβ10*, *TkIMPβ11*, and *TkIMPβ27* under NaCl stress. The error bar represents the mean ± standard error (Mean ± SE) of the three biological replicates. Different letters indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$, LSD).

4. DISCUSSION

The organization of life-sustaining processes and the precise regulation of cellular functions depend on the controlled movement of biological macromolecules between the nucleus and cytoplasm [39]. Disruptions in the nuclear transport regulation can lead to substrate transport irregularities, potentially contributing to disease development and progression. In eukaryotic cells, DNA transcription occurs in the nucleus, while protein synthesis takes place in the cytoplasm. The nuclear membrane serves as a barrier, separating these compartments [40], making the transport of molecules across it essential for cell function [41]. While ions, metabolites, and some small neutral proteins diffuse passively, molecules larger than 40 kDa require active transport mechanisms, utilizing transport factors within the nuclear pore complex for import and export [42]. In plant cells, this selective bidirectional transport regulates diverse cellular activities, maintains physiological balance, controls differentiation, and enables responses to environmental signals and stress through processes like signal transduction and gene expression [43].

Importin-β (IMPβ) family members are nuclear transporters widely distributed in eukaryotes. They function as nuclear transport receptors, forming complexes with cargo in the cytoplasm (where RanGTP levels are low) and releasing them in the nucleus upon RanGTP binding [44]. Typically weighing 95–145 kDa with low sequence similarity, IMPβ members share a conserved

structure: an N-terminal Ran-binding domain, a central HEAT repeat domain, and a C-terminal domain for substrate or adaptor protein binding [45]. In plants, the IMPβ family regulates crucial processes such as hormone signaling, flowering, pathogen resistance, and stress tolerance [20]. This study identified 27 members of the *TkIMPβ* gene family in *TKS*. Chromosome location indicated that most are nuclear-localized.

Evolutionary analysis across *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Hevea brasiliensis*, *Oryza sativa*, and *TKS* classified the IMPβ genes into 12 distinct families based on sequence conservation. The expansion to 27 members in *TKS*, compared to approximately 17 in *Arabidopsis* but similar to 27 in *Zea* [20], suggests a complex and potentially specialized nuclear transport network in *TKS*. This expansion may underpin its need to integrate precise developmental and environmental signals, particularly those related to rubber biosynthesis and adaptation to temperate climates. Notably, homologs such as AtIMPβ1 /AtKPBN1 in *Arabidopsis* are positive regulators of growth, and OsIMPβ1 in *Oryza sativa* is pollen-specific [46]. The identification of *TKS* homologs within these conserved subfamilies allows for functional predictions. For instance, *TkIMPβ10*, *TkIMPβ11*, and *TkIMPβ27* cluster in the same phylogenetic subgroup (E) as OsIMPβ1, OsIMPβ2, and AtIMPβ1, suggesting conserved roles in growth and development.

Gene structure analysis revealed that while *TkIMPβ3*, *TkIMPβ12*, and *TkIMPβ21* are intronless, most members

contain over 15 introns and exons. Duplicated gene pairs *TkIMP β 1/TkIMP β 2*, *TkIMP β 9/TkIMP β 14*, *TkIMP β 17/TkIMP β 18*, and *TkIMP β 22/TkIMP β 23* exhibit nearly identical intron-exon structures, a pattern often linked to tandem duplication and the emergence of new genes with specialized functions [47]. Motif analysis further supports functional diversification. The conserved motifs enriched in HEAT and IBN-N domains form the superhelical skeleton essential for interactions with the NPC, RanGTPase, and cargo proteins [36, 37]. The distinct motif composition between importins (*e.g.*, IBN-N-containing) and exportins (*e.g.*, Xpo1-containing) underlies their transport direction specificity. Member-specific motifs, such as Motif 31 in *TkIMP β 7*, likely reside in variable loop regions critical for substrate recognition [38, 39], potentially enabling the transport of unique cargoes, including transcription factors specific to rubber biosynthesis or stress responses.

Expression analysis revealed distinct patterns among *TkIMP β* members. *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 19*, *TkIMP β 20*, and *TkIMP β 22* were preferentially expressed in roots, the primary site of natural rubber biosynthesis (NRB) in TKS, implying a critical role in this process. In contrast, *TkIMP β 1*, *TkIMP β 2*, *TkIMP β 4*, *TkIMP β 12*, *TkIMP β 14*, and *TkIMP β 21* remained largely unchanged, indicating their potential function as housekeeping genes, which requires more in-depth investigation. Our findings collectively demonstrate the essential role of *TkIMP β* family members in natural rubber production and suggest that these genes could serve as valuable resources for investigating rapid changes in NRB-related protein content following exposure to heavy metals in future studies. Recently, a total of 102 NRB-related gene and protein members were identified, including 10 cis-isoprene transferases (CPT), 8 small rubber particle proteins (SRPP), 2 rubber elongation factors (REF), and one HRT1-REF bridging protein (HRBP). Among these, CPT7, SRPP5, SRPP6, and SRPP9 might play more important roles in NRB within TKS roots [35]. Given this, it would be valuable to prioritize research on the relationships between *IMP β* gene family members and genes and proteins involved in natural rubber biosynthesis (NRB).

Based on the phylogenetic tree and expression patterns of *IMP β* gene family members in TKS, we selected *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27* for subcellular localization analysis. In the phylogenetic tree, these genes belong to the E subgroup, where their *Arabidopsis* and rubber tree counterparts are associated with growth and development. Therefore, we speculate that *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27* belong to the same subfamily as *OsIMP β 1*, *OsIMP β 2*, and *AtIMP β 1* and may have similar functions. Subcellular localization analysis revealed that *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27* were primarily expressed in the nucleus, suggesting their potential roles in nuclear material transport or signal transduction. Additionally, latex cell development is the most active before 6M. The expression patterns of these genes in TKS showed peak expression in roots at 2M and 6M, followed by a significant decline at

12M, with little to no expression in leaves. Further yeast two-hybrid assays confirmed that they lack intrinsic transcriptional activation, indicating that they function not as transcription factors but likely as transporters facilitating the nuclear import of cargo proteins. This aligns with the canonical role of *IMP β* family members.

To further investigate the specific roles of *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27* in rubber biosynthesis and plant responses to environmental stress, we conducted NaCl stress and MeJA and Eth hormone treatments. In the NaCl stress experiment, the expression of these genes decreased at 12 h but increased by 24 hours, a biphasic response common in stress-adaptive genes [48, 49].

In the MeJA treatment experiment, *TkIMP β 11* and *TkIMP β 27* showed a rapid downregulation at 6h, indicating a role in the early MeJA response. *TkIMP β 10* responded later, suggesting differential regulation within the family for fine-tuning JA-mediated processes, which are central to induced defense and rubber biosynthesis [50]. In the Eth treatment experiment, the expression levels of *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27* significantly decreased after 6 and 12 h of treatment but increased after 24 h. These findings suggest that these genes may be involved in ethylene signal transduction. Previous studies reported a similar response in *Synechocystis*, where Eth treatment caused a rapid but transient decrease in transcript levels of *etr1*, *slr1213*, and *slr1214* during phototaxis [51]. Hence, we conclude that a comprehensive analysis of the *IMP β* family in additional rubber-producing plants, such as *Parthenium hysterophorus* and *Eucommia ulmoides*, could provide deeper insights into the role of *IMP β* genes in regulating natural rubber biosynthesis.

Notably, three nuclear localization genes-*TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27* (Fig. 7)-are preferentially expressed during development but show a significant negative correlation under salt stress, MeJA, and Eth treatments. Similarly, previous research has demonstrated that cis-acting elements play a crucial role in plant responses to abiotic stress as well as growth and development [52, 53]. Our analysis of *TkIMP β* cis-elements revealed numerous stress- and hormone-responsive cis-elements in the promoter regions of *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27* (Fig. 5). For example, all three contain MeJA response elements (TGACG-motif, CGTCA-motif) and the abiotic stress response element ABRE, which are key regulators of plant defense against biotic and abiotic stresses. Additionally, most *TkIMP β* members contain Tc-rich repeats and MBS [54], which are essential for plant stress response and defense. These findings align with previous research, indicating that SAPs are closely associated with stress responses [55].

5. STUDY LIMITATIONS

Several limitations of this study should be acknowledged. First, functional characterization was performed only for *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27*, while the remaining 24 family members await experimental validation through stable transgenic

approaches or CRISPR/Cas9-based mutagenesis in future work. Second, the subcellular localization and Y2H assays were conducted in heterologous systems (*Nicotiana benthamiana* and yeast), which cannot fully recapitulate the native physiological environment of TKS cells—particularly the RanGTP nuclear-cytoplasmic gradient that dynamically regulates the conformation of nuclear pore complexes and the cargo-binding affinity of importin β proteins. Consequently, the in vivo transport efficiency and spatiotemporal specificity of TkIMP β proteins remain to be verified in their endogenous context. Third, although transcriptomic data revealed distinct expression patterns, the destructive nature of rubber yield quantification precluded simultaneous measurement of gene expression and rubber accumulation in the same individuals across developmental time courses, leaving the direct causal relationship between TkIMP β expression and natural rubber biosynthesis to be established. Despite these limitations, this study provides the first systematic characterization of the IMP β family in TKS and establishes a foundational resource for future functional investigations.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the analysis of the IMP β gene family in TKS provides valuable insights into its functions and regulatory mechanisms. Genomic data acquisition and subsequent analysis identified 27 TkIMP β family members, a number comparable to that in other plant species. Gene structure analysis revealed both similarities and variations among members with replication-based relationships, indicating evolutionary differentiation. Phylogenetic analysis classified the TkIMP β family into subfamilies and identified four groups of replication factors. Additionally, expression analysis indicated that some *TkIMP β* genes are closely linked to rubber production-related functions, suggesting their role in regulating natural rubber synthesis. Subcellular localization and yeast two-hybrid experiments confirmed that *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27* are not transcription factors but function as nuclear transport proteins involved in the transport or signal transduction of nuclear substances. Further experiments involving NaCl stress and MeJA and Eth hormone treatments explored the specific roles of *TkIMP β 10*, *TkIMP β 11*, and *TkIMP β 27* in rubber biosynthesis and plant responses to environmental stress. These findings provide a comprehensive understanding of the evolution and expression patterns of the IMP β family in TKS and offer potential gene targets for molecular breeding strategies aimed at enhancing rubber production. Overall, this study expands our knowledge of the IMP β gene family in TKS, paving the way for further research and practical applications in the field.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

The authors confirm contribution to the paper as follows: Q.Y. and W.M.: Contributed to the study conception and design, and data acquisition; W.M. and X.Z.: Performed the data analysis; Q.Y. and W.M.: The first draft of the manuscript was written by, and all

authors commented on previous versions. X.Z.: Critically reviewed and edited the manuscript with supervision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

TKS	=	<i>Taraxacum Kok-Saghyz</i>
IMP β	=	Importin β
TkIMP β	=	TKS importin β
MeJA	=	Methyl Jasmonate
NR	=	Natural Rubber
CAS	=	Cellular Apoptosis Susceptibility

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

Not applicable.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data supporting the findings of the article is available in the NCBI BioProject database at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/>, reference numbers PRJNA792143 and PRJNA539838.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Hainan Province, China (Grant No. 320RC597; Funder ID: <https://doi.org/10.13039/501100004761>).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We particularly thank Dr. Lingling Wang and Dr. Qi Yao from Hainan Normal University, China for their generous support.

REFERENCES

- [1] Men X, Wang F, Chen GQ, Zhang HB, Xian M. Biosynthesis of natural rubber: current state and perspectives. *Int J Mol Sci* 2018; 20(1): 50. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms20010050> PMID: 30583567
- [2] Warren-Thomas E, Dolman PM, Edwards DP. Increasing demand for natural rubber necessitates a robust sustainability initiative to mitigate impacts on tropical biodiversity. *Conserv Lett* 2015; 8(4): 230-41. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/conl.12170>
- [3] Xie Q, Ding G, Zhu L, et al. Proteomic landscape of the mature roots in a rubber-producing grass *Taraxacum kok-saghyz*. *Int J Mol Sci* 2019; 20(10): 2596. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms20102596> PMID: 31137823
- [4] Luo Z, Iaffaldano BJ, Zhuang X, Fresnedo-Ramírez J, Cornish K. Analysis of the first *Taraxacum kok-saghyz* transcriptome reveals

- potential rubber yield related SNPs. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7(1): 9939.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09034-2> PMID: 28855528
- [5] Salehi M, Cornish K, Bahmankar M, Naghavi MR. Natural rubber-producing sources, systems, and perspectives for breeding and biotechnology studies of *Taraxacum kok-saghyz*. *Ind Crops Prod* 2021; 170: 113667.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113667>
 - [6] Cherian S, Ryu SB, Cornish K. Natural rubber biosynthesis in plants, the rubber transferase complex, and metabolic engineering progress and prospects. *Plant Biotechnol J* 2019; 17(11): 2041-61.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13181> PMID: 31150158
 - [7] Amerik AY, Martirosyan L, Martirosyan VV, *et al.* *Parthenium argentatum* A. Gray, *Taraxacum kok-saghyz* L.E. Rodin, and *Scorzonera tau-saghyz* Lipsch. et Bosse as alternative sources of natural rubber: do we really need them? *Sh Biol* 2022; 57(1): 3-26.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.15389/agrobiol.2022.1.3eng>
 - [8] Chen J, Wang W, Dong Y, *et al.* Optimization of extraction of inulin from *Taraxacum kok-saghyz* Rodin by response surface methodology and its MALDI-TOF MS analysis. *Sci Technol Food Ind* 2022; 43(1): 205-12.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.13386/j.issn1002-0306.2021040173>
 - [9] Tempel S, Bedo J, Talla E. From a large-scale genomic analysis of insertion sequences to insights into their regulatory roles in prokaryotes. *BMC Genomics* 2022; 23(1): 451.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12864-022-08678-3> PMID: 35725380
 - [10] Pavithra Bhavanasi, Bhavanasi P, Bollapragada RS. Understanding cell biology. *International J Res Phytochem Pharmacol Sci* 2019; 1(1): 39-45.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.33974/ijrpps.v1i1.108>
 - [11] Pemberton LF, Paschal BM. Mechanisms of receptor-mediated nuclear import and nuclear export. *Traffic* 2005; 6(3): 187-98.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0854.2005.00270.x> PMID: 15702987
 - [12] Raices M, D'Angelo MA. Structure, maintenance, and regulation of nuclear pore complexes: The gatekeepers of the eukaryotic genome. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2022; 14(3): a040691.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a040691> PMID: 34312247
 - [13] Chook Y, Blobel G. Karyopherins and nuclear import. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2001; 11(6): 703-15.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0959-440X\(01\)00264-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0959-440X(01)00264-0) PMID: 11751052
 - [14] Conti E, Müller CW, Stewart M. Karyopherin flexibility in nucleocytoplasmic transport. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2006; 16(2): 237-44.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2006.03.010> PMID: 16567089
 - [15] Sumner MC, Brickner J. The nuclear pore complex as a transcription regulator. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2022; 14(1): a039438.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a039438> PMID: 34127448
 - [16] Fahrenkrog B, Aebi U. The nuclear pore complex: nucleocytoplasmic transport and beyond. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2003; 4(10): 757-66.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm1230> PMID: 14570049
 - [17] Macara IG. Transport into and out of the Nucleus. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2001; 65(4): 570-94.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.65.4.570-594.2001> PMID: 11729264
 - [18] Fried H, Kutay U. Nucleocytoplasmic transport: Taking an inventory. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2003; 60(8): 1659-88.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00018-003-3070-3> PMID: 14504656
 - [19] Petrovic S, Mobbs GW, Bley CJ, Nie S, Patke A, Hoelz A. Structure and function of the nuclear pore complex. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2022; 14(12): a041264.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a041264> PMID: 36096637
 - [20] Jin L, Zhang G, Yang G, Dong J. Identification of the Karyopherin Superfamily in Maize and Its Functional Cues in Plant Development. *Int J Mol Sci* 2022; 23(22): 14103.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms232214103> PMID: 36430578
 - [21] Xu Y, Liu L, Zhao P, *et al.* Genome-Wide Identification, Expression Profile and Evolution Analysis of Karyopherin β Gene Family in *Solanum tuberosum* Group Phureja DM1-3 Reveals Its Roles in Abiotic Stresses. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020; 21(3): 931.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21030931> PMID: 32023817
 - [22] Liu L, Liu Y, Ji X, Zhao X, Liu J, Xu N. Coronatine orchestrates ABI1-mediated stomatal opening to facilitate bacterial pathogen infection through importin β protein SAD2. *Plant J* 2024; 119(2): 676-88.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/tpj.16784> PMID: 38683723
 - [23] Li Q, Zheng J, Li S, *et al.* Transporter-mediated nuclear entry of jasmonoyl-isoleucine is essential for jasmonate signaling. *Mol Plant* 2017; 10(5): 695-708.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2017.01.010> PMID: 28179150
 - [24] Artimo P, Jonnalagedda M, Arnold K, *et al.* ExPASy: SIB bioinformatics resource portal. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012; 40(W1): W597-603.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks400> PMID: 22661580
 - [25] Tang K, Dong CJ, Liu JY. Genome-wide comparative analysis of the phospholipase D gene families among allotetraploid cotton and its diploid progenitors. *PLoS One* 2016; 11(5): e0156281.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0156281> PMID: 27213891
 - [26] Yu K, Zhao Z, Gao A, *et al.* Cloning and expression analysis of phytoene desaturase (PDS) gene from mango (*Mangifera indica* L.). *Computational Molecular Biology* 2022; 12(4): 1-8.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5376/cmb.2022.12.0004>
 - [27] Bailey TL, Williams N, Misleh C, Li WW. MEME: discovering and analyzing DNA and protein sequence motifs. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2006; 34(Web Server): W369-73.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl198> PMID: 16845028
 - [28] Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipinski A, Kumar S. MEGA6: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol Biol Evol* 2013; 30(12): 2725-9.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst197> PMID: 24132122
 - [29] Hu B, Jin J, Guo AY, Zhang H, Luo J, Gao G. GSDS 2.0: An upgraded gene feature visualization server. *Bioinformatics* 2015; 31(8): 1296-7.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu817> PMID: 25504850
 - [30] Lescot M, Déhais P, Thijs G, *et al.* PlantCARE, a database of plant cis-acting regulatory nlms and a portal to tools for in silico analysis of promoter sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2002; 30(1): 325-7.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/30.1.325> PMID: 11752327
 - [31] Xie Q, Ma J, Ding G, *et al.* Transcriptomics and proteomics profiles of *Taraxacum kok-saghyz* roots revealed different gene and protein members play different roles for natural rubber biosynthesis. *Ind Crops Prod* 2022; 181: 114776.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2022.114776>
 - [32] Jin X, Zhu L, Yao Q, *et al.* Expression profiling of mitogen-activated protein kinase genes reveals their evolutionary and functional diversity in different rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis*) cultivars. *Genes (Basel)* 2017; 8(10): 261.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/genes8100261> PMID: 28984837
 - [33] Yang L, Ji W, Zhu Y, *et al.* GsCBRLK, a calcium/calmodulin-binding receptor-like kinase, is a positive regulator of plant tolerance to salt and ABA stress. *J Exp Bot* 2010; 61(9): 2519-33.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq084> PMID: 20400529
 - [34] Qin B, Pan M, Yu HY, *et al.* Evaluation of reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR expression studies in *Taraxacum kok-saghyz* Rodin. *Plant Physiol* 2016; 52(07): 1059-65.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.13592/j.cnki.ppj.2016.0170>
 - [35] Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* 2001; 25(4): 402-8.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262> PMID: 11846609
 - [36] Chakrabarty B, Parekh N. DBSTRIPS : Database of structural repeats in proteins. *Protein Sci* 2022; 31(1): 23-36.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pro.4052> PMID: 33641184
 - [37] Parker JB, Tenorio CA, Blaber M. The ubiquitous buried water in the BETA-TREFOIL architecture contributes to the folding nucleus and ~20% of the folding enthalpy. *Protein Sci* 2021; 30(11): 2041-2054.

- 2287-97.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pro.4192> PMID: 34562298
- [38] Chen X, Cai X, Chen Z, *et al.* Mosaic evolution of beta-barrel-porin-encoding genes in *Escherichia coli*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2022; 88(7): e00060-22.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/aem.00060-22> PMID: 35285711
- [39] Tran EJ, King MC, Corbett AH. Macromolecular transport between the nucleus and the cytoplasm: Advances in mechanism and emerging links to disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res* 2014; 1843(11): 2784-95.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2014.08.003> PMID: 25116306
- [40] Cooper GM. The nuclear envelope and traffic between the nucleus and cytoplasm structure of the nuclear envelope. *The cell: A molecular approach*. (2nd ed.). Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates 2000; pp. 305-30.
- [41] Aggarwal A, Agrawal DK. Importins and exportins regulating allergic immune responses. *Mediators Inflamm* 2014; 2014: 1-14.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/476357> PMID: 24733961
- [42] Chang CW, Couñago RM, Williams SJ, Boden M, Kobe B. The distribution of different classes of nuclear localization signals (NLSs) in diverse organisms and the utilization of the minor NLS-binding site inplantnuclear import factor importin- α . *Plant Signal Behav* 2013; 8(10): e25976.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/psb.25976> PMID: 24270630
- [43] Huang JG, Yang M, Liu P, Yang GD, Wu CA, Zheng CC. Genome-wide profiling of developmental, hormonal or environmental responsiveness of the nucleocytoplasmic transport receptors in *Arabidopsis*. *Gene* 2010; 451(1-2): 38-44.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2009.11.009> PMID: 19944133
- [44] Lowe AR, Tang JH, Yassif J, *et al.* Importin- β modulates the permeability of the nuclear pore complex in a Ran-dependent manner. *eLife* 2015; 4: e04052.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.04052> PMID: 25748139
- [45] Merkle T. Nucleo-cytoplasmic transport of proteins and RNA in plants. *Plant Cell Rep* 2011; 30(2): 153-76.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00299-010-0928-3> PMID: 20960203
- [46] Luo Y, Wang Z, Ji H, *et al.* An A rabidopsis homolog of importin β 1 is required for ABA response and drought tolerance. *Plant J* 2013; 75(3): 377-89.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12207> PMID: 23582042
- [47] Ma MY, Lan XR, Niu DK. Intron gain by tandem genomic duplication: a novel case in a potato gene encoding RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. *PeerJ* 2016; 4: e2272.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2272> PMID: 27547574
- [48] Das P, Majumder AL. Transcriptome analysis of grapevine under salinity and identification of key genes responsible for salt tolerance. *Funct Integr Genomics* 2019; 19(1): 61-73.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10142-018-0628-6> PMID: 30046943
- [49] Nagavi-alhoseiny AA, Torshabi M, Rasoulboroujeni M, Tayebi L, Tabatabaei FS. Effect of sodium chloride on gene expression of *Streptococcus mutans* and zeta potential of demineralized dentin. *J Oral Biol Craniofac Res* 2019; 9(1): 1-4.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jobcr.2018.08.002> PMID: 30197855
- [50] Fantini E, Daddiego L, Facella P, *et al.* MYC2 influences rubber and sesquiterpene lactones synthesis in *Taraxacum* species. *Planta* 2025; 262(1): 5.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00425-025-04719-9> PMID: 40413374
- [51] Lacey RF, Allen CJ, Bakshi A, Binder BM. Ethylene causes transcriptomic changes in *Synechocystis* during phototaxis. *Plant Direct* 2018; 2(3): e00048.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pld3.48> PMID: 31245714
- [52] Li J, Han G, Sun C, Sui N. Research advances of MYB transcription factors in plant stress resistance and breeding. *Plant Signal Behav* 2019; 14(8): 1613131.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2019.1613131> PMID: 31084451
- [53] Sheshadri SA, Nishanth MJ, Simon B. Stress-mediated *cis*-nlm transcription factor interactions interconnecting primary and specialized metabolism in *planta*. *Front Plant Sci* 2016; 7: 1725.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01725> PMID: 27933071
- [54] Li Z, Zhang J, Li J, Li H, Zhang G. The functional and regulatory mechanisms of the *Thellungiella salsuginea* ascorbate peroxidase 6 (TsAPX6) in response to salinity and water deficit stresses. *PLoS One* 2016; 11(4): e0154042.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154042> PMID: 27097028
- [55] Nakashima K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. ABA signaling in stress-response and seed development. *Plant Cell Rep* 2013; 32(7): 959-70.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00299-013-1418-1> PMID: 23535869